

#-Rewriting Systems

and An Infinite Hierarchy Resulting from Them

Based upon

Křivka, Z., Meduna, A., Schönecker, R.:

Generation of Languages by Rewriting Systems that
Resemble Automata,

In: *IJFCS* Vol. 17, No. 5, 2006



meduna@fit.vutbr.cz

Brno University of Technology, Czech Republic

Contents

- 1. Concepts**
- 2. Definition**
- 3. Main Result: An Infinite Hierarchy**
- 4. Open Problem Areas**

#-Rewriting Systems in Formal Language Theory

- Language-defining models
- Pure rewriting systems
- Between automata and grammars:
have states but generate languages

Concept

#-Rewriting System is based on the rules of the form

$$p_{\textcolor{brown}{m}} \textcolor{red}{\#} \rightarrow \textcolor{blue}{q} \textcolor{violet}{x_0} \textcolor{violet}{\#} \textcolor{violet}{x_1} \dots \textcolor{violet}{\#} \textcolor{violet}{x_n}$$

by which the system makes a computational
step \Rightarrow as

$$\begin{array}{c} \textcolor{brown}{m}\text{th } \textcolor{red}{\#} \\ \downarrow \\ (\textcolor{blue}{p}, \dots \textcolor{teal}{\#} \textcolor{teal}{y_{m-1}} \textcolor{red}{\#} \textcolor{teal}{y_m} \textcolor{teal}{\#} \textcolor{teal}{y_{m+1}} \dots) \Rightarrow \\ (\textcolor{blue}{q}, \dots \textcolor{teal}{\#} \textcolor{teal}{y_{m-1}} \textcolor{violet}{x_0} \textcolor{violet}{\#} \textcolor{violet}{x_1} \dots \textcolor{violet}{\#} \textcolor{violet}{x_n} \textcolor{teal}{y_m} \textcolor{teal}{\#} \textcolor{teal}{y_{m+1}} \dots) \end{array}$$

Definition 1/2

#-Rewriting System ($\#RS$) is a quadruple

$$H = (Q, \Sigma, s, R), \text{ where}$$

- Q —finite set of *states*,
- Σ —*alphabet*, $\# \in \Sigma$ is called a *bounder*,
- $s \in Q$ —*start state*,
- R —*finite set of rules* of the form

$$p_{\textcolor{brown}{m}}\# \rightarrow q\textcolor{violet}{x}$$

where $p, q \in Q$, $\textcolor{brown}{m}$ is a positive integer, $\textcolor{violet}{x} \in \Sigma^*$.

Definition 2/2

Configuration: (q, x) , $q \in Q$, $x \in \Sigma^*$

Computational step:

$(p, u\#v) \Rightarrow (q, uxv) [p_m\# \rightarrow qx \in R]$,
 where the number of $\#$ s in u is $m - 1$,
 $p, q \in Q$, $u, x, v \in \Sigma^*$.

Generated language:

$L(H) = \{w \in (\Sigma - \#)^* : (s, \#) \Rightarrow^* (q, w) \text{ in } H, q \in Q\}$.

Example: #RS

#RS H :

H accepts $aabbcc$:

[1]. $s_1 \# \rightarrow p \##$

[2]. $p_1 \# \rightarrow q a \# b$

[3]. $q_2 \# \rightarrow p \# c$

[4]. $p_1 \# \rightarrow f ab$

[5]. $f_1 \# \rightarrow f c$

Example: #RS

#RS H :

[1]. $s_1 \# \rightarrow p \##$

[2]. $p_1 \# \rightarrow q a \# b$

[3]. $q_2 \# \rightarrow p \# c$

[4]. $p_1 \# \rightarrow f ab$

[5]. $f_1 \# \rightarrow f c$

H accepts $aabbcc$:

$(s, \#)$

$\Rightarrow (p, \##)$ [1]

$\Rightarrow (q, a \# b \#)$ [2]

$\Rightarrow (p, a \# b c \#)$ [3]

$\Rightarrow (f, a a b b c \#)$ [4]

$\Rightarrow (f, a a b b c c)$ [5]

$$L(H) = \{a^n b^n c^n : n \geq 1\}$$

Finite index of $\#RS$

$\#$ -Rewriting systems of *index* k :

\Rightarrow over configurations with k or fewer $\#$ s
 $\#RS_k$ – the language family generated by
 $\#RS$ s of index k

Example: Index $k = 2$:

$$1. (p, a\#a\#b) \Rightarrow (q, aa\#aa\#b) [p_1\# \rightarrow qa\#a \in R]$$

OK

$$2. (p, a\#a\#b) \not\Rightarrow (q, a\#aa\###bb) [p_2\# \rightarrow qa\###b \in R]$$

INCORRECT

Example: #RS of finite index

#RS H :

- [1]. $s_1 \# \rightarrow p \##$
- [2]. $p_1 \# \rightarrow q a \# b$
- [3]. $q_2 \# \rightarrow p \# c$
- [4]. $p_1 \# \rightarrow f ab$
- [5]. $f_1 \# \rightarrow f c$

H accepts $aabbcc$:

- $(s, \#)$
- $\Rightarrow (p, \##)$ [1]
- $\Rightarrow (q, a \# b \#)$ [2]
- $\Rightarrow (p, a \# b c \#)$ [3]
- $\Rightarrow (f, a a b b c \#)$ [4]
- $\Rightarrow (f, a a b b c c)$ [5]

H is of index 2.

$$L(H) = \{a^n b^n c^n : n \geq 1\} \in \#RS_2$$

Main Result: An Infinite Hierarchy

Theorem: $\#RS_k \subset \#RS_{k+1}$, for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof:

makes use of programmed grammars (PG) of index k

Proof: Programmed Grammars

Programmed Grammar (PG) is a modification of context-free grammar based on the rules of the form:

$$r: A \rightarrow x, W_r$$

- $r: A \rightarrow x$ is a context-free rule labeled by r ,
- W_r —finite set of rule labels

Derivation step (\Rightarrow):

after the application of rule r ,
a rule from W_r has to be applied

Proof: Finite index of PG

Programmed grammars of *index* k :

- \Rightarrow over sentential forms with k or fewer occurrences of nonterminals.

P_k – the language family defined by
programmed grammars of index k

Example: PG

PG G :

1: **S** $\rightarrow ABC$, {2, 5}

2: **A** $\rightarrow aA$, {3}

3: **B** $\rightarrow bB$, {4}

4: **C** $\rightarrow cC$, {2, 5}

5: **A** $\rightarrow a$, {6}

6: **B** $\rightarrow b$, {7}

7: **C** $\rightarrow c$, \emptyset

G generates $aabbcc$:

Example: PG

PG G :

1: $S \rightarrow ABC, \{2, 5\}$

2: $A \rightarrow aA, \{3\}$

3: $B \rightarrow bB, \{4\}$

4: $C \rightarrow cC, \{2, 5\}$

5: $A \rightarrow a, \{6\}$

6: $B \rightarrow b, \{7\}$

7: $C \rightarrow c, \emptyset$

G generates $aabbcc$:

S

$\Rightarrow ABC$ [1]

$\Rightarrow aABC$ [2]

$\Rightarrow aAbBC$ [3]

$\Rightarrow aAbBcC$ [4]

$\Rightarrow aabBcC$ [5]

$\Rightarrow aabbC$ [6]

$\Rightarrow aabbcc$ [7]

Example: PG

PG G :

1: $S \rightarrow ABC, \{2, 5\}$

2: $A \rightarrow aA, \{3\}$

3: $B \rightarrow bB, \{4\}$

4: $C \rightarrow cC, \{2, 5\}$

5: $A \rightarrow a, \{6\}$

6: $B \rightarrow b, \{7\}$

7: $C \rightarrow c, \emptyset$

G generates $aabbcc$:

S

$\Rightarrow ABC$ [1]

$\Rightarrow aABC$ [2]

$\Rightarrow aAbBC$ [3]

$\Rightarrow aAbBcC$ [4]

$\Rightarrow aabBcC$ [5]

$\Rightarrow aabbC$ [6]

$\Rightarrow aabbcc$ [7]

$$L(G) = \{a^n b^n c^n : n \geq 1\} \in P_3$$

Proof: $P_k = \#RS_k, k \geq 1$

$P_k \subseteq \#RS_k$:

Let G be a PG of index k . Construct a $\#RS$ H of index k , so H simulates derivation step

$$a\underline{A}bBc \Rightarrow_G adXYbBc [p: A \rightarrow dXY, \{q, o\}] \Rightarrow_G \dots [q]$$

as

$$(\langle \underline{A}B, p \rangle, a\underline{\#}b\#c) \Rightarrow_H (\langle XYB, q \rangle, ad\#\#b\#c) \\ [\langle \underline{A}B, p \rangle_1 \# \rightarrow \langle XYB, q \rangle d\#\#]$$

Proof: $\#RS_k = P_k, k \geq 1$

$\#RS_k \subseteq P_k$:

Let H be a $\#RS$ of index k . Construct a PG G of index k , so G simulates a computational step

$$(p, a\underline{\#}b\#c) \Rightarrow_H (q, aa\#bb\#c) [p_1\# \rightarrow q \ a\#b]$$

as

$$a\underline{\langle p, 1, 2 \rangle} b\langle p, 2, 2 \rangle c$$

$$1) \text{ Renumbering: } \Rightarrow_G a\underline{\langle q'', 1, 2 \rangle} b\langle p, 2, 2 \rangle c$$

$$\Rightarrow_G a\underline{\langle q'', 1, 2 \rangle} b\langle q', 2, 2 \rangle c$$

$$2) \text{ Rewriting: } \Rightarrow_G aa\underline{\langle q', 1, 2 \rangle} bb\langle q', 2, 2 \rangle c$$

$$3) \text{ Finalization: } \Rightarrow_G aa\underline{\langle q, 1, 2 \rangle} bb\langle q', 2, 2 \rangle c$$

$$\Rightarrow_G aa\underline{\langle q, 1, 2 \rangle} bb\langle q, 2, 2 \rangle c$$

Proof: $\#RS_k \subset \#RS_{k+1}$, $k \geq 1$

Recall that:

- $P_k \subset P_{k+1}$, for all $k \geq 1$
-

As $P_k = \#RS_k$, for all $k \geq 1$, we have

Theorem: $\#RS_k \subset \#RS_{k+1}$, for all $k \geq 1$.

Future Investigation

- Determinism
- Unlimited index
- Other variants:
 - Right-linear
 - Context-sensitive
 - Parallel

Discussion