

# Parallel Grammars: Scattered Context Grammars



**Alexander Meduna**

---

**Faculty of Information Technology  
Brno University of Technology  
Brno, Czech Republic, Europe**



## Based on these Papers

---

- Meduna, A.: Coincidental Extension of Scattered Context Languages, *Acta Informatica* 39, 307-314, 2003
- Meduna, A. and Fernau, H.: On the Degree of Scattered Context-Sensitivity. *Theoretical Computer Science* 290, 2121-2124, 2003
- Meduna, A.: Descriptive Complexity of Scattered Rewriting and Multirewriting: An Overview. *Journal of Automata, Languages and Combinatorics*, 571-579, 2002
- Meduna, A. and Fernau, H.: A Simultaneous Reduction of Several Measures of Descriptive Complexity in Scattered Context Grammars. *Information Processing Letters*, 214-219, 2003



# Classification of Parallel Grammars

---

**I. Totally parallel grammars**, such as  $L$  systems, rewrite **all** symbols of the sentential form during a single derivation step (not discussed in this talk).

**II. Partially parallel grammars** rewrite **some** symbols while leaving the other symbols unrewritten.

- **Scattered Context Grammars** work in a partially parallel way.
- These grammars are **central to this talk**.



# Scattered Context Grammars (SCGs)

---

## Essence

- semi-parallel grammars
- application of several context-free productions during a single derivation step
- stronger than CFGs

## Main Topics under Discussion

- reduction of the grammatical size
- new language operations



# Concept

---

## Concept

- sequences of context-free productions
- several nonterminals are rewritten in parallel while the rest of the sentential form remains unchanged



# Definition

---

## ***Scattered context grammar :***

- $G = (N, T, P, S)$
- $N, T,$  and  $S$  as in a CFG
- $P$  is a finite set of productions of the form  
 $(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$   
where  $A_j \in N$  and  $x_j \in V^*$  with  $V = N \cup T$

## **Direct derivation:**

- $u_1 A_1 u_2 A_2 u_3 \dots u_n A_n u_{n+1} \Rightarrow u_1 x_1 u_2 x_2 u_3 \dots u_n x_n u_{n+1}$  if  
 $(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

## **Generated language:**

- $L(G) = \{w. S \Rightarrow^* w \text{ and } w \in T^*\}$



# Example

---

## Productions:

$$(S) \rightarrow (AA), (A, A) \rightarrow (aA, bAc), (A, A) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$$

## Derivation:

$$S \Rightarrow AA \Rightarrow aAbAc \Rightarrow aaAbbAcc \Rightarrow aabbcc$$

## Generated Language:

$$L(G) = \{a^i b^i c^i : i \geq 0\}$$



# Language Families

---

## Language Families

- **CS** - Context Sensitive Languages
- **RE** - Recursively Enumerable Languages
- **SC** =  $\{L(G): G \text{ is a SCG}\}$

for every  $n \geq 1$ ,

- **SC(n)** =  $\{L(G): G \text{ is a SCG with no more than } n \text{ nonterminals}\}$





# Reduction of SCGs

---

## Reduction of SCGs

- **(A) reduction of the number of nonterminals**
- (B) reduction of the number of context (non-context-free) productions
- (C) simultaneous reduction of (A) and (B)

# Reduction (A) 1/2

## Reduction of the Number of Nonterminals

- **Theorem 1:**  $RE = SC(3)$
- **Theorem 2:**  $CS \not\subseteq SC(1)$
- **Proof (Sketch):** Let  $L = \{a^h : h = 2^n, n \geq 1\}$ . Assume that  $L = L(G)$ , where  $G = (\{S\}, \{a\}, P, S)$  is a SCG. In  $G$ ,

$$S \Rightarrow^* a^i S a^j \Rightarrow^* a^i a^k a^j$$

for some  $i, j \geq 0$  such that  $i + j, k \geq 1$ . Thus,

$$S \Rightarrow^* a^{in} S a^{jn} \Rightarrow^* a^{in} a^k a^{jn}$$

for every  $n \geq 0$ . As  $a^i a^k a^j \in L$ ,  $|a^i a^k a^j| = i + k + j = 2^m$ . Consider  $v = a^{2i} a^k a^{2j} \in L$ . Then,  $2^m < |v| = 2^m + i + j < 2^{m+1}$ , so  $v \notin L$ —a contradiction.



# Reduction (A) 2/2

---

- **Corollary:**  $SC(1) \subset SC(3) = RE$
- **Open Problem:**  $RE = SC(2)$ ?



# Reduction (B)

---

## Reduction of SCGs

- (A) reduction of the number of nonterminals
- **(B) reduction of the number of context (non-context-free) productions**
- (C) reduction of (A) and (B)



# Reduction (B) 1/5

---

## Reduction of the Number of Context Productions

- A *context production* means a non-context-free production

$$(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \text{ with } n \geq 2$$

- **Theorem 4:** Every language in **RE** is generated by a scattered context grammar with only these two context productions:

$$(\$, 0, 0, \$) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, \$, \$, \varepsilon)$$

$$(\$, 1, 1, \$) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, \$, \$, \varepsilon)$$

# Reduction (B) 2/5

## I. Left-Extended Queue Grammar

$$Q = (V, T, W, F, s, R)$$

$R$  - finite set of productions of the form  $(a, q, z, r)$ . Every generation of  $h \in L(Q)$  has this form

$$\# a_0 q_0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_0 \# a_1 x_0 q_1$$

$$[(a_0, q_0, z_0, q_1)]$$

$$\Rightarrow a_0 a_1 \# a_2 x_1 q_2$$

$$[(a_1, q_1, z_1, q_2)]$$

$$\Rightarrow a_0 a_1 \dots a_k \# a_{k+1} x_k q_{k+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_0 a_1 \dots a_k a_{k+1} \# a_{k+2} x_{k+1} y_1 q_{k+2}$$

$$[(a_{k+1}, q_{k+1}, y_1, q_{k+2})]$$

$$\Rightarrow a_0 a_1 \dots a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+m-1} \# a_{k+m} y_1 \dots y_{m-1} q_{k+m}$$

$$[(a_{k+m-1}, q_{k+m-1}, y_{m-1}, q_{k+m})]$$

$$\Rightarrow a_0 a_1 \dots a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+m} \# y_1 \dots y_m q_{k+m+1}$$

$$[(a_{k+m}, q_{k+m}, y_m, q_{k+m+1})]$$

where  $h = y_1 \dots y_m$  with  $q_{k+m+1} \in F$



# Reduction (B) 3/5

---

## II. Substitutions

$g$ : binary code of symbols from  $V$

$h$ : binary code of states from  $W$

## III. Introduction of SCG

$G = (N, T, CF \cup Context, S)$

$Context = \{ (\$, 0, 0, \$) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, \$, \$, \varepsilon),$   
 $(\$, 1, 1, \$) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, \$, \$, \varepsilon) \}$

## IV. $CF$ used to generate

$\$g(a_0 a_1 \dots a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+m})y_1 \dots y_m h(q_{k+m} \dots q_{k+1} q_k \dots q_1 q_0)\$$

# Reduction (B) 4/5

## V. Context used to verify

$$g(a_0 a_1 \dots a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+m}) = h(q_0 q_1 \dots q_k q_{k+1} \dots q_{k+m})$$

$$\text{let } g(a_0 a_1 \dots a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+m}) = c_0 c_1 \dots c_{(k+m)2n}$$

$$\text{let } h(q_0 q_1 \dots q_k q_{k+1} \dots q_{k+m}) = d_0 d_1 \dots d_{(k+m)2n}$$

where each  $c_i, d_j \in \{0, 1\}$

By using  $(\$, 0, 0, \$) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, \$, \$, \varepsilon)$  and

$(\$, 1, 1, \$) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, \$, \$, \varepsilon)$ ,  $G$  makes

$$\$c_0 c_1 c_2 \dots c_{(k+m)2n} \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m d_{(k+m)2n} \dots d_2 d_1 d_0 \dagger$$

$$\$c_1 c_2 \dots c_{(k+m)2n} \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m d_{(k+m)2n} \dots d_2 d_1 \$$$

$$\$c_2 \dots c_{(k+m)2n} \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m d_{(k+m)2n} \dots d_2 \$$$

$$\$\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m \$$$

$$\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m$$





# Reduction (B) 5/5

---

- **Corollary 5:** The *SCGs* with two context productions characterize ***RE***.
- **Open Problem:** What is the power of the *SCGs* with a single context production?



# Reduction of SCGs

---

## Reduction of SCGs

- (A) reduction of the number of nonterminals
- (B) reduction of the number of context (non-context-free) productions
- **(C) reduction of (A) and (B)**



# Simultaneous Reduction (A) & (B)

---

## Simultaneous Reduction of the Number of Nonterminals and the Number of Context Productions

- **Note:** Next two theorems were proved in cooperation with H. Fernau (Germany).
- **Theorem:** Every type-0 language is generated by a SCG with no more than **seven context productions and** no more than **five nonterminals**
- **Theorem:** Every type-0 language is generated by a SCG with no more than **six context productions and** no more than **six nonterminals**
- **Open Problem:** Can we improve the above theorems?



# New Operations

---

## $\varepsilon$ -free SCGs

- $\varepsilon$ -free SCG: each production  $(A_1, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  satisfies  $x_j \neq \varepsilon$
- $\varepsilon$ -free **SC** =  $\{L(G): G \text{ is an } \varepsilon\text{-free SCG}\}$
- $\varepsilon$ -free **SC**  $\subseteq$  **CS**  $\subset$  **SC** = **RE**
  
- Objective: Increase of  $\varepsilon$ -free **SC** to **RE** by a simple language operation over  $\varepsilon$ -free **SC**



# Coincidental Extension 1/6

## Coincidental Extension

- For a symbol,  $\#$ , and a string,  $x = a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} a_n$ , any string of the form  $\#^i a_1 \#^i a_2 \#^i \dots \#^i a_{n-1} \#^i a_n \#^i$ , where  $i \geq 0$ , is a *coincidental  $\#$ -extension* of  $x$ .
- A language,  $K$ , is a coincidental  $\#$ -extension of  $L$  if every string of  $K$  represents a coincidental extension of a string in  $L$  and the deletion of all  $\#$ s in  $K$  results in  $L$ , symbolically written as  $L \# \blacktriangleleft K$
- If  $L \# \blacktriangleleft K$  and there are an infinitely many coincidental extensions of  $x$  in  $K$  for every  $x \in L$ , we write  $L \# \blacktriangleleft_{\infty} K$



## Coincidental Extension 2/6

### Examples:

For  $X = \{ \#^i a \#^i b \#^i : i \geq 5 \} \cup \{ \#^i c^n \#^i d^n \#^i : n, i \geq 0 \}$  and

$Y = \{ ab \} \cup \{ c^n d^n : n \geq 0 \},$

$Y_{\#} \triangleleft_{\infty} X,$  so  $Y_{\#} \triangleleft X.$

For  $A = \{ \# a \# b \# \} \cup \{ \#^i c^n \#^i d^n \#^i : n, i \geq 0 \},$

$Y_{\#} \triangleleft A$  holds, but  $Y_{\#} \triangleleft_{\infty} A$  does not hold.

$B = \{ \#^i a \#^i b \#^i : i \geq 5 \} \cup \{ \#^i c^n \#^i d^n \#^{i+1} : n, i \geq 0 \}$  is not the coincidental  $\#$ -extension of any language.



# Coincidental Extension 3/6

---

- **Theorem:** Let  $K \in RE$ . Then, there exists a  $\varepsilon$ -free SCG,  $G$ , such that  $K \# \blacktriangleleft_{\infty} L(G)$ .
- **Proof (Sketch):** Let  $K \in RE$ . There exists a SCG,  $G$ , such that  $L = L(G)$ . Construct a  $\varepsilon$ -free SCG,  $G = (V, P, S, \{\#\} \cup T)$ , so that  $L \# \blacktriangleleft_{\infty} L(G)$ .

## Homomorphism $h$ :

$h(A) = A$  for every nonterminal  $A$

$h(a) = a$  for every terminal  $a$

$h(\varepsilon) = Y$

# Coincidental Extension 4/6

**$P$  constructed by performing the next six steps:**

- I.** add  $(\bar{Z}) \rightarrow (YS\$)$  to  $P$
- II.** for every  $(A_1, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in P$ , add  $(A_1, \dots, A_n, \$) \rightarrow (h(x_1), \dots, h(x_n), \$)$  to  $P$
- III.** add  $(Y, \$) \rightarrow (YY, \$)$  to  $P$
- IV.** for every  $a, b, c \in T$ ,  
add  $(\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle, \langle c \rangle, \$) \rightarrow (\langle 0a \rangle, \langle 0b \rangle, \langle 0c \rangle, \$)$  to  $P$
- V.** for every  $a, b, c, d \in T$ , add  
 $(Y, \langle 0a \rangle, Y, \langle 0b \rangle, Y, \langle 0c \rangle, \$) \rightarrow (\#, \langle 0a \rangle, X, \langle 0b \rangle, Y, \langle 0c \rangle, \$)$ ,  
 $(\langle 0a \rangle, \langle 0b \rangle, \langle 0c \rangle, \$) \rightarrow (\langle 4a \rangle, \langle 1b \rangle, \langle 2c \rangle, \$)$ ,  
 $(\langle 4a \rangle, X, \langle 1b \rangle, Y, \langle 2c \rangle, \$) \rightarrow (\langle 4a \rangle, \#, \langle 1b \rangle, X, \langle 2c \rangle, \$)$ ,  
 $(\langle 4a \rangle, \langle 1b \rangle, \langle 2c \rangle, \langle d \rangle, \$) \rightarrow (a, \langle 4b \rangle, \langle 1c \rangle, \langle 2d \rangle, \$)$ ,  
 $(\langle 4a \rangle, \langle 1b \rangle, \langle 2c \rangle, \$) \rightarrow (a, \langle 1b \rangle, \langle 3c \rangle, \$)$ ,  
 $(\langle 1a \rangle, X, \langle 3b \rangle, Y, \$) \rightarrow (\langle 1a \rangle, \#, \langle 3b \rangle, \#, \$)$   
to  $P$





# Coincidental Extension 5/6

---

**VI.** for every  $a, b \in T$ , add

$(\langle 1a \rangle, X, \langle 3b \rangle, \xi) \rightarrow (a, \#, b, \#)$  to P.

$G$  generates every  $y \in L(G)$  in this way

$$Z \Rightarrow YS\$ \Rightarrow^+ x\$ \Rightarrow v\$ \Rightarrow^+ z\$ \Rightarrow y$$

where  $v \in (\mathcal{T}\{Y\}^+)^+\{\$\}$ . In addition,

$$v = u_0\langle 0a_1 \rangle u_1\langle 0a_2 \rangle u_2\langle 0a_3 \rangle \dots u_{n-1}\langle a_n \rangle u_n\$$$

if and only if  $a_1a_2a_3\dots a_n \in L(G)$

# Coincidental Extension 6/6

In greater detail,  $v\xi \Rightarrow^+ z\xi \Rightarrow y$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Y\langle 0a_1 \rangle Y\langle 0a_2 \rangle Y\langle 0a_3 \rangle \dots Y\langle a_n \rangle Y^{\neq 1} \xi \\
 \Rightarrow^i & \#^i\langle 0a_1 \rangle X\langle 0a_2 \rangle Y\langle 0a_3 \rangle Y\langle a_4 \rangle \dots Y\langle a_n \rangle Y^{\neq 1} \xi \\
 \Rightarrow & \#^i\langle 4a_1 \rangle X\langle 1a_2 \rangle Y\langle 2a_3 \rangle Y\langle a_4 \rangle \dots Y\langle a_n \rangle Y^{\neq 1} \xi \\
 \Rightarrow^i & \#^i\langle 4a_1 \rangle \#^i\langle 1a_2 \rangle X\langle 2a_3 \rangle Y\langle a_4 \rangle \dots Y\langle a_n \rangle Y^{\neq 1} \xi \\
 \Rightarrow & \#^i a_1 \#^i\langle 4a_2 \rangle X\langle 1a_3 \rangle Y\langle 2a_4 \rangle \dots Y\langle a_n \rangle Y^{\neq 1} \xi \\
 \Rightarrow^i & \#^i a_1 \#^i\langle 4a_2 \rangle \#^i\langle 1a_3 \rangle X\langle 2a_4 \rangle \dots Y\langle a_n \rangle Y^{\neq 1} \xi \\
 \Rightarrow & \#^i a_1 \#^i a_2 \#^i\langle 4a_3 \rangle X\langle 1a_4 \rangle Y\langle 2a_5 \rangle \dots Y\langle a_n \rangle Y^{\neq 1} \xi \\
 & \vdots \\
 & \#^i a_1 \#^i a_2 \#^i a_3 \dots \langle 4a_{n-2} \rangle \#^i\langle 1a_{n-1} \rangle X\langle 2a_n \rangle Y^{\neq 1} \xi \\
 \Rightarrow & \#^i a_1 \#^i a_2 \#^i a_3 \dots a_{n-2} \#^i\langle 1a_{n-1} \rangle X\langle 3a_n \rangle Y^{\neq 1} \xi \\
 \Rightarrow^{i-1} & \#^i a_1 \#^i a_2 \#^i a_3 \dots \#^i a_{n-2} \#^i\langle 1a_{n-1} \rangle \#^j X\langle 3a_n \rangle \#^{\neq 1} \xi \\
 \Rightarrow & \#^i a_1 \#^i a_2 \#^i a_3 \dots \#^i a_{n-2} \#^i a_{n-1} \#^i a_n \#^i
 \end{aligned}$$

- Corollary:** Let  $K \in RE$ . Then, there exists a  $\varepsilon$ -free SCG,  $G$ , such that  $K \# \blacktriangleleft L(G)$ .



# Use in Theoretical Computer Science

---

## Use in Theoretical Computer Science

- **Corollary:** For every language  $K \in RE$ , there exists a homomorphism  $h$  and a language  $H \in \varepsilon\text{-free } \mathbf{SC}$  such that  $K = h(H)$ .
- In a complex way, this result was proved on page 245 in [Greibach, S. A. and Hopcroft, J. E.: Scattered Context Grammars. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.* 3, 232-247 (1969)]



# Future Investigation

## Future Investigation: *k-limited coincidental extension*

- Let  $k$  be a non-negative integer.
- For a symbol,  $\#$ , and a string,  $x = a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} a_n$ , any string of the form  $\#^i a_1 \#^i a_2 \#^i \dots \#^i a_{n-1} \#^i a_n \#^i$ , where  $k \geq i \geq 0$ , is a *k-limited coincidental #-extension* of  $x$ .
- A language,  $K$ , is a coincidental a *k-limited #-extension* of  $L$  if every string of  $K$  represents a *k-limited coincidental extension* of a string in  $L$  and the deletion of all  $\#$ s in  $K$  results in  $L$ , symbolically written as  $L \stackrel{k \geq \#}{\leftarrow} K$

### Example

- For  $X = \{ \#^i a \#^i b \#^i : 2 \geq i \geq 0 \} \cup \{ \#^i c \#^i d \#^i : 4 \geq i \geq 0 \}$  and  $Y = \{ ab, cd \}$ ,

$$Y \stackrel{4 \geq \#}{\leftarrow} X$$



# Very Important Open Problem

---

**Important Open Problem:**  $\varepsilon$ -free **SC** = **CS**?

- Does there exist a non-negative integer  $k$ , such that for every  $L \in \mathbf{CS}$ ,  $L \stackrel{k \geq \#}{\leftarrow} L(H)$  for some  $\varepsilon$ -free SCG,  $H$ ?
- If so, I know how to prove  $\varepsilon$ -free **SC** = **CS** 😊.

**END**