Syntax Driven Japanese-Czech Translation PhD Thesis

Petr Horáček

Department of Information Systems Faculty of Information Technology Brno University of Technology

March 10, 2011

Petr Horáček (FIT BUT)

Syntax Driven Japanese-Czech Translation

March 10, 2011 1 / 23



Motivation

Preliminaries

Basic definitions

3 Current state of knowledge

- Formal language theory and natural languages
- Machine translation

Own work

- Disertation goals, results and publications
- Syntax and parse driven translation

- Goals of the thesis
- Further research prospects



Preliminaries

Basic definitions

3 Current state of knowledge

- Formal language theory and natural languages
- Machine translation

4 Own work

- Disertation goals, results and publications
- Syntax and parse driven translation

- Goals of the thesis
- Further research prospects

Motivation

Natural language processing (NLP)

- Important application area of formal language theory
 - One of the key aspects behind the creation of the theory
- Currently covering a wide area of various tasks
 - Mostly aimed at practical applications

Machine translation

- One of the oldest NLP tasks (but still room for improvement)
- Practical applications

Japanese-Czech translation

- Little research in the area
- Good relations between Japan and the Czech republic
- Growing interest in Japanese culture and language in Europe

Introduction

Motivation

Preliminaries

Basic definitions

Current state of knowledge

- Formal language theory and natural languages
- Machine translation

4 Own work

- Disertation goals, results and publications
- Syntax and parse driven translation

- Goals of the thesis
- Further research prospects

Definition

A context-free grammar (CFG) is a quadruple G = (N, T, P, S), where

- N is a finite set of nonterminal symbols
- *T* is a finite set of *terminal* symbols, $N \cap T = \emptyset$
- *P* is a finite relation from *N* to (*N* ∪ *T*)*, usually represented as a finite set of *rules (productions)* of the form *A* → *x*, where *A* ∈ *N* and *x* ∈ (*N* ∪ *T*)*
- $S \in N$ is the *start symbol*

Derivation step and generated language

Let $u, v \in (N \cup T)^*$ and $p = A \rightarrow x \in P$. Then, *uAv directly derives uxv* according to p in G, written as $uAv \Rightarrow_G uxv [p]$ or simply $uAv \Rightarrow uxv$.

$$L(G) = \{ w : w \in T^*, S \Rightarrow^* w \}$$

Definition

A matrix grammar is a pair H = (G, M), where

- G = (N, T, P, S) is a context-free grammar
- *M* is a finite language over *P* ($M \subseteq P^*$)

Derivation step

For
$$x, y \in (N \cup T)^*$$
, $m \in M$,

 $x \Rightarrow y[m]$

in *H* if there are x_0, \ldots, x_n such that $x = x_0, x_n = y$, and

$$x_0 \Rightarrow x_1[p_1] \Rightarrow x_2[p_2] \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow x_n[p_n] \text{ in } G, \text{ and }$$

 $m = p_1 \dots p_n$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Introduction Motivation

Preliminaries

Basic definitions

3 Current state of knowledge

- Formal language theory and natural languages
- Machine translation

4) Own work

- Disertation goals, results and publications
- Syntax and parse driven translation

- Goals of the thesis
- Further research prospects

Formal language theory and natural languages

Classic formal models in NLP – problems

- CFG insufficient generative power
- Context-sensitive and general grammars unsuitable for practical use (complexity of parsing)

Solution in NLP

- Find new approaches, create new models
- Modify known models often based on CFG

Parallel in formal language theory

- Regulated rewriting (matrix grammar, programmed grammar...)
- Scattered context grammar

o . . .

Machine translation

Translation systems

- Bilingual vs. multilingual
- Unidirectional vs. bidirectional

Approaches to translation

- Direct translation
- Interlingua
 - Internal abstract representation
- Transfer
 - Separate abstract representation for source and target language

New trends

- Rule-based vs. corpus-based systems
- Statistical approaches

Introduction
Motivation

- Droliminariaa
 - Basic definitions
- 3 Current state of knowledge
 - Formal language theory and natural languages
 - Machine translation

Own work

- Disertation goals, results and publications
- Syntax and parse driven translation

5 Conclusior

- Goals of the thesis
- Further research prospects

Published

 Horáček, P.: Formal Models in Processing of Japanese Language. In Proceedings of the 16th Conference and Competition STUDENT EEICT 2010 Volume 5, Faculty of Information Technology BUT, 2010

Submitted

- Horáček, P., Meduna, A.: Syntax Driven Japanese-Czech Translation (AFL 2011)
- Horáček, P.: Parse Driven Translation (STUDENT EEICT 2011)

Translation grammar (basic idea)

- A grammar that generates two corresponding sentences (input and translation) in one derivation
- Based on CFG (usually)
- Each rule has two right-hand sides one generates the input sentence, other the corresponding output sentence
- One left-hand side always rewriting the same nonterminal

Example

Rule:

$$1:E \rightarrow E + T \;, E \; T \; + \;$$

Derivation step:

```
(\mathsf{E}, \mathsf{E}) \Rightarrow (\mathsf{E} + \mathsf{T}, \mathsf{E} \mathsf{T} +) [1]
```

Petr Horáček (FIT BUT)

Syntax Driven Japanese-Czech Translation

March 10, 2011 13 / 23

Idea

- Based on the the idea of syntax driven translation and translation grammars
- Two grammars (input and output), corresponding rules share labels
- Input sentence and output sentence same parse (sequence of rules used in derivation, denoted by their labels)
- Example rules:

 Note: the two corresponding rules do not need to rewrite the same nonterminal

A B A B A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

Translation in practice (idea)

 Parse the input sentence using input grammar – we get a sequence of rules (parse)

$$S_I \Rightarrow^* x_I[\alpha]$$

Generate the translation using output grammar – apply the rules of output grammar according to the sequence from step 1

$$S_O \Rightarrow^* x_O[\alpha]$$

Definition

A parse translation grammar is a 5-tuple $H = (G_I, G_O, \Psi, \varphi_I, \varphi_O)$, where

- $G_I = (N_I, T_I, P_I, S_I)$ and $G_O = (N_O, T_O, P_O, S_O)$ are CFGs, card $P_I = \text{card } P_O = \text{card } \Psi$,
- Ψ is a set of *rule labels*,
- φ_I is a bijection from Ψ to P_I and φ_O a bijection from Ψ to P_O .

Translation

Translation T(H) is a set of pairs of sentences:

$$T(H) = \{ (w_I, w_O) : \qquad w_I \in T_I^*, w_O \in T_O^*, \\ S_I \Rightarrow_{G_I}^* w_I[\alpha], S_O \Rightarrow_{G_O}^* w_O[\alpha], \\ \alpha \in \Psi^* \}$$

Parse translation matrix grammar

Definition

A parse translation matrix grammar is a 7-tuple

 $H = (G_I, M_I, G_O, M_O, \Psi, \varphi_I, \varphi_O)$, where

- (G_I, M_I) and (G_O, M_O) are matrix grammars, card M_I = card M_O = card Ψ,
- Ψ is a set of *matrix labels*,
- φ_I is a bijection from Ψ to M_I and φ_O a bijection from Ψ to M_O .

Translation

Translation T(H) is a set of pairs of sentences:

$$T(H) = \{ (w_l, w_O) : \qquad w_l \in T_l^*, w_O \in T_O^*, \\ S_l \Rightarrow^*_{(G_l, M_l)} w_l[\alpha], S_O \Rightarrow^*_{(G_O, M_O)} w_O[\alpha], \\ \alpha \in \Psi^* \}$$

Japanese-Czech translation example

Example

watashi wa gakkou ni <mark>ikimasu</mark> anata wa gakkou ni <mark>ikimasu</mark> Takeshi-san wa gakkou ni <mark>ikimasu</mark> já <mark>jdu</mark> do školy ty <mark>jdeš</mark> do školy Takeshi <mark>jde</mark> do školy

Example



Petr Horáček (FIT BUT)

Syntax Driven Japanese-Czech Translation

Rules

1	a:	SP	\rightarrow	NP ₁ wa	1a:	SP	\rightarrow	NP ₁
1	b:	SP	\rightarrow	NP ₂ wa	1b:	SP	\rightarrow	NP ₂
1	c:	SP	\rightarrow	NP ₃ wa	1c:	SP	\rightarrow	NP ₃
	2:	V	\rightarrow	V _{ps}	2a:	V	\rightarrow	V _{ps1}
					2b:	V	\rightarrow	V _{ps2}
					2c:	V	\rightarrow	V _{ps3}

Matrices

A:	1a 2	A:	1a 2a
B:	1b 2	B:	1b 2b
C:	1c 2	C:	1c 2c

Petr Horáček (FIT BUT)

Syntax Driven Japanese-Czech Translation

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Introduction
Motivation

- Preliminaries
 - Basic definitions
- 3 Current state of knowledge
 - Formal language theory and natural languages
 - Machine translation

Own work

- Disertation goals, results and publications
- Syntax and parse driven translation

- Goals of the thesis
- Further research prospects

Goals

- Study and define formal models suitable for describing natural language syntax, with focus on the Japanese language
- Propose methods and formalisms that can be used in Japanese-Czech translation
- Create translation rules

Further research

- Syntax analysis with matrix grammars
- Theoretical study of the proposed models and their properties
- Practical applications of the translation system

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

References

Dassow, J., Păun, Gh.: Regulated Rewriting in Formal Language Theory. Berlin: Springer, 1989, ISBN 3-540-51414-7.



- Meduna, A., Techet, J.: Scattered Context Grammars and their Applications. WIT Press, UK, WIT Press, 2010, ISBN 978-1-84564-426-0.
- Mitkov, R.: *The Oxford Handbook of Computational Linguistics*. Oxford University Press, 2004, ISBN 978-0-19-927634-9.
- Novotný, M.: *S algebrou od jazyka ke gramatice a zpět*. Academia Praha, 1988.
- Rozenberg, G., Salomaa, A.: Handbook of Formal Languages: Volume I. Springer Verlag, 1997.

Thank you for attention

2

• • • • • • • • • • • • •