TREX and IF

Petr Matoušek

matousp@fit.vutbr.cz

Brno University of Technology, Czech republic



1. Introduction

Talk outline

- **1.** TREX A Tool for Reachability Analysis of CompleX Systems
- 2. Architecture
- 3. Input language
- 4. Extended Timed Automata
- **5. Issues on Parametric Analysis**
- **6. Running** TREX
- 7. Examples



TREX overview

- developed by A. Annichini-Collomb, A. Bouajjani and M. Sighireanu in Verimag, Grenoble and LIAFA, Paris
- ***** Model checker that implements:
 - real-time constraints
 - counters
 - communication through unbounded channels
 - parametric reasoning



TREX overview

***** Features:

- description based on parametrized (continuous-time) timed automata
- variables of infinite-domain data structures (PDMBs) with parameters
- analysis based on symbolic reachability analysis
- termination is not guaranteed but extrapolation can help it
- checking on-the-fly safety properties
- generates a set of reachable configurations and a finite symbolic graph



TREX overview

Input models

- parametric (continuous-time) timed automata,
- extended with integer counters
- and finite-domain variables,
- and communicating through unbounded lossy FIFO channels
- and shared variables.



Architecture

***** Generic kernel algorithm for any kind of data structures





Architecture

Three modules

- 1. algorithms computes generic reachability states for every data structure; post(), pre() and extrapolation techniques
- 2. data structure several symbolical data structure; PDBMs, SRE, FOAF
- 3. decision procedures satisfiability of formulas is checked using external decision procedures.
 - for formulas over integers TREX uses OMEGA
 - for formulas over reals TREX uses REDUCE



Architecture

Data Representation

- simple regular expressions (SRE) manipulating SREs during symbolic analysis; it is used for lossy FIFO channels
- Constrained PDBMs counter and clock automata and their manipulation
- First-Order Arithmetical Formulas (FOAF) terms and formulas used in Constrained PDBMs; used for linear and non-linear constraints on parameters



♦ IF version 1.0

- declaration of a system
 - system lift;
- declaration of signals

signal overflow;
 empty;

• declaration of variables - predefined types: bool, int, real, clock

var

c(1) : int;	// a counter
g(1) : int;	// a counter
N : int;	// a parameter

declaration of buffers

buffer env :queue: toenv of overflow, empty;

synchronization between process

gate

channel1;

channel2;

sync

```
((process1 | [channel1] | process2) | [channel2] | process3)
end;
```



declaration of processes

```
process motor
state
   m_1 : init;
transition
   from m_1
     if a = 1
     do a:=0, c:= c+1
   to m_1;
  from m_1
    sync channel1
    if a = 2
    do a := 0, c := c-1
  to -;
```



declaration of processes

```
state
   receiving :init tpc(t<=0); end;
   sending tpc(t<=320); end;
transition
  from receiving
   do reset(t),
      no : = no + 1,
      output overflow to env
   to sending;</pre>
```



TREX supports the following sub-set of IFv1.0:

- pre-defined types: pid, sid, int, real (float), clock
- user defined types: enum, range
- buffers: fifo lossy, finite fifo non lossy
- gates and n-ary rendez-vous
- invariants on states tpc expressions
- transition: normal and eager



***** Symbolic representations

type	guards	assignment	package	analysis with TREX
finite	usual	usual	explicit, BDD	back/forward
counter (int,nat)	$x-y \ \sharp \ t$	x := y + t	PDBMs	forward + interpolation
counter (int,nat)	$x \ \sharp \ t$	linear	intervals	-
counter (int,nat)	$+/-x+/-y \ \sharp \ t$	x := +/-y + t	octagons	-
clock	$x-y \ \sharp \ t$	x := y + t	PDBMs	forward + interpolation
clock	$x-y \ \sharp \ t$	x := y + t	RVA	-
clock	linear	linear	polyhedra, RVA	-
lossy fifos	input	output	SRE	forward + widening
lossy fifos	input	output	UPC	backward
parameters	convex	none	STREE	
parameters	linear over integers	none	NDD	
parameters	linear over reals	none	RVA	



Timed Automata - Introduction

***** An example: light-switch



- ***** States: off, dim, bright
- Clocks: x,y



Timed Automata - Syntax

***** Timed automaton is a tuple $A = \langle L, L^0, \Sigma, X, I, E \rangle$, where

- *L* is a finite set of locations,
- L^0 is a finite set of initial locations,
- Σ is a finite set of labels,
- X is a finite set of clocks,
- I is a mapping that labels each locatins s with some clock constraint $\Phi(X)$, and
- $E \subseteq L \times \Sigma \times 2^X \times \Phi(X) \times L$ is a set of switches.

Clock constraint

$$\varphi(X) := x \le c \mid c \le x \mid x < c \mid c < x \mid \varphi_1 \land \varphi_2,$$

where x is a clock in X and c is a constant in \mathbb{Q} .



Timed Automata - Semantics, exam.

Configuration graph



Time Automata - Semantics

***** Semantics of a TA is a transition system $S_A = (\Sigma, Q, Q_0, R)$, where

- Σ is a finite set of labels
- **Q** is a set of states; each state is a pair (s, ν)
- Q_0 is a set of initial states
- R is a transition of type:

♦ delay transition
$$(s, \nu) \xrightarrow{\delta} (s, \nu + \delta), \delta \ge 0$$

for all $0 \le \delta' \le \delta, \nu + \delta'$ satisfies the invariant I(s).

*** action transition**
$$(s, \nu) \xrightarrow{a} (s', \nu[\lambda := 0])$$

where $a \in \Sigma$ and transition $\langle s, a, \varphi, \lambda, s' \rangle$ satisfies φ .



Timed Automata - Verification

The semantics is the basis for verification of TA.

- **Reachability problem of** S_A
 - We will write $(s, \nu) \to (s', \nu')$ if there is $(s, \nu) \xrightarrow{\sigma} (s', \nu')$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma \cup \mathbb{R}_+$
 - State (s, ν) is reachable iff. (s₀, ν₀) →* (s, ν),
 where (s₀, ν₀) is an initial state.

\diamond Reachability problem is nontrivial - transitional system S_A has an infinite number of states.

Alur, Dill: Reachability of TA is decidable. - finite partitioning of infinite state space



Introduction to parametric analysis

Example - TA with parameter MAX



A parameter - a variable that is not modified by the system.

Parametric verification - to verify a system for all possible values of the parameters

Parametric synthesis - to find constraints on the parameters defining values that satisfies a property.

***** Can be solved as reachability problems in parametric models.



Parametric analysis - Syntax

* A Parametric Timed System (PTS) is tuple $T = (Q, Q_0, X, P, I, E)$ where:

- Q is a finite set of *control states*, Q_0 are *initial states*
- X is a finite set of *clocks*,
- *P* is a finite set of *parameters*,
- $I: Q \rightarrow SC(X, P)$ is a mapping *invariants* with control states,
- E is a finite set of *transitions* of the form (q_1, g, sop, q_2) where $q_1, q_2 \in Q, g \in SC(X, P) \in SC(X, P)$ is a *guard* and *sop* is a simple operation over X.
- **A simple parametric constraints SC(X,P) conjuction of formulas**

$$x \prec t, x - y \prec t$$
 where $x, y \in X, \prec \in \{<, \le\}, t \in AT(\mathcal{P})$.



Parametric analysis - Semantics, ex.



Symbolic representations

Simple Regular Expressions (SRE)

- represent configurations of lossy fifo channels of infinite length which may lose messages at any moment
- SRE is a sum of products of regular expressions of the form (m + e)and $m_1 + \ldots + m_n)^*$.
- simple operations on fifos put, pop, top, empty
- widening operation computation of postcondition for iteration of simple loops



Symbolic representations

Parametric DBMs (PDBMs)

- represent configurations of clocks and counters with parameters.
- a matrix on dimension n + 1, each element (i, j) is a bound (\prec, t)
- it encodes a constraint x_i − x_j ≺ t where ≺∈ {<, ≤}, and t is a term built from arithmetic operators, constants and parameters by grammar

$$t ::= c|p|t - t|t + t|c * t$$

- Constrained PDBM — a PDBM with constraints on the parameters $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = (\mathcal{M}, \Phi)$



Symbolic representations

TREX provides an implementation of Constrained PDMBs

- a data structure for PDBM and CPDBM
- simple operations empty and universal set, inclusion, variable assignment and intersection with a constraint
- normal form computation
- widening operation corresponding to the computation of postcondition for iteration of simple loops



Symbolic representations

Syntactical Trees (STREE)

- represent constraints over a set of parameters
- constraints may be non-linear
- represent syntactically arithmetical terms used for symbolic bounds
- a projection operator on real variables,
- a parameterized version of Fourier-Motzkin procedure
- heuristics to obtain an order for projection



Symbolic representations

Upward Closed Sets (UC)

- for model-checking using backward reachability of lossy fifo channels
- data structure to represent UC sets
- simple operations for empty, universal, inclusion, input and output of messages following post() or pre() semantics.



Manipulation with parametric structures

Let $\tilde{b_1} = ((t_1, \prec_1), \varphi_1), \ \tilde{b_2} = ((t_2, \prec_2), \varphi_2) \in \tilde{\mathcal{PB}}$ are two constraint parameterized bounds.

• Operator $\oplus : \tilde{\mathcal{PB}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{PB}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{PB}}$ such that

$$\tilde{b_1} \oplus \tilde{b_2} = (t_1 + t_2, (min(\prec_1, \prec_2)), \varphi_1 \land \varphi_2)$$

where for all $t \in AT(\mathcal{P})$ we define:

$$t + \infty = \infty$$
$$t + (-\infty) = -\infty$$
$$\infty + \infty = \infty$$
$$\infty + (-\infty) = \infty$$
$$-\infty) + (-\infty) = -\infty$$

This operator is needed for canonization operation over PDBMs.

Manipulation with parametric structures

• Operator \otimes - minimum between two terms

$$\Phi_{<} \equiv \exists p \in \mathcal{P}.\varphi_{1} \land \varphi_{2} \land t_{1} < t_{2}$$

$$\Phi_{=} \equiv \exists p \in \mathcal{P}.\varphi_{1} \land \varphi_{2} \land t_{1} = t_{2}$$

$$\Phi_{>} \equiv \exists p \in \mathcal{P}.\varphi_{1} \land \varphi_{2} \land t_{1} > t_{2}$$

Operator $\otimes : \tilde{\mathcal{PB}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{PB}} \to 2^{\tilde{\mathcal{PB}}}$ is such that $\tilde{b_1} \otimes \tilde{b_2} = min(\tilde{b_1}, \tilde{b_2})$.

$$\min(\tilde{b_1}, \tilde{b_2}) = \min_{\leq} (\tilde{b_1}, \tilde{b_2}, \Phi_{\leq})$$

$$\cup \min_{=} (\tilde{b_1}, \tilde{b_2}, \Phi_{=})$$

$$\cup \min_{>} (\tilde{b_1}, \tilde{b_2}, \Phi_{>})$$



***** Manipulation with parametric structures



The result of *min* operation — a set of one, two or three constrained parameterized bounds.



Acceleration

Let (q, ph) be a symbolic configuration and let θ be a control loop.

- difference between (q, ph) and $post_{\theta}(q, ph)$ is Δ
- difference between $post_{\theta}^2(q, ph)$ and $post_{\theta}(q, ph)$ is Δ too
- we suspect the effect of iterating loop θ —adding an increment Δ to the original set

Condition C2 must hold

$$C2: \forall n \ge 0 \ . \ post_{\theta}^2((q, ph + n * \Delta)) = post_{\theta}((q, ph + (n + 1) * \Delta)).$$



***** Acceleration - an example



- an initial configuration is $c_1 = (q_0, 0, T > 0)$
- after applying control loop θ a new configuration is c_2 :

$$c_2 = post_{\theta}(c_1) = (q_0, (0 \le x \le 0) \cap (x \le T), 0 < T)|_{x := x+2}$$

= $(q_0, 0 \le x \le 0, 0 < T)|_{x := x+2} = (q_0, 2 \le x \le 2, 0 < T)$



***** Acceleration - an example

- difference of c_2 and c_1 is an interval $\Delta_1 = \langle (2, \leq), (2, \leq) \rangle$
- another iteration of *post()* is

$$c_{3} = post_{\theta}(c_{2})$$

= $(q_{0}, (2 \le x \le 2) \cap (x \le T), 0 < T)|_{x:=x+2}$
= $(q_{0}, 2 \le x \le 2, 2 < T)|_{x:=x+2}$
= $(q_{0}, 4 \le x \le 4, 2 < T)$

- difference between c_3 and c_2 is $\Delta_2 = \langle (2, \leq), (2, \leq) \rangle$
- $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$



***** Acceleration - an example

• Effect of acceleration—before acceleration (a) and after (b)





Running TREX

*** Starting** TREX

```
trex -c <init_constraints.cnd> -init <model.if> -sg <symbolic_grap.aut> -tr
<traces.tr> -res <reachable_set.scf> -cp <num> -e
```

Options:

- -a filename.acc acceleration applied on given states
- -c filename.cnd initial constraints on parameters
- -cp a number for the deep of searching iterating states in order to apply accelerations
 - -e use the FOAF package instead of REDUCE for elimination of quantifiers over reals
- -init filename.if specification of the input model
- -pre backward analysis
- -prop filename.if use the model described in the file like an observer specifying a safety property to be verified
 - -res filename.scf writes the reachable configurations in filename.scf
 - -sg filename.aut produces the finite graph of symbolic reachable configurations using the Aldebaran format
 - filename.tr prints the analysis trace in file filename.tr



Running TREX

***** Results of the analysis

Reachable configurations.

- TREX generates a set of reachable configurations
- a finite symbolic graph

A symbolic graph generated by TREX is given by several files:

- a file of transitions between reachable symbolic configurations (in ALDEBARAN format, ie. one of the graph formats of CADP) can be used by CADP for finite model-checking and minimization
- a file listing the reachable symbolic configurations can be used to extract new initial constraints to do abstraction with INVEST.



Running TREX

Results of the analysis

On-the-fly check of safety properties.

- the property is given as an observer
- if the property is not satisfied, TREX generates a sequence of transitions from the initial state of the model to the state with bad behavior
- a symbolic configuration of the bad state can be used to synthesize constraints under which the safety property is satisfied.

Check of some kind of liveness property.

TREX can synthetise fairness constraints stating about the bounded iterability of some kind of loops.



References

[TREX] TREX at http://www.liafa.jussieu.fr/~sighirea/trex/index.html

- [AAB99] P.A. Abdulla, A. Annichini, and A. Bouajjani. Symbolic verification of lossy channel systems: Application to the bounded retransmission protocol. In *Proceedings of 5th TACAS*, volume 1579 of *LNCS*. Springer, 1999.
- [AAB00] A. Annichini, E. Asarin, and A. Bouajjani. Symbolic techniques for parametric reasoning about counter and clock systems. In E.A. Emerson and A.P. Sistla, editors, *Proceedings of the 12th CAV*, volume 1855 of *LNCS*, pages 419–434. Springer Verlag, July 2000.
- [ABJ98] P.A. Abdulla, A. Bouajjani, and B. Jonsson. On-the-fly analysis of systems with unbounded, lossy, FIFO channels. In *Proceedings of the 10th CAV*, volume 1427, pages 305–317, 1998.
- [BCAS01] A. Bouajjani, A. Collomb-Annichini, and M. Sighireanu. Trex: A tool for reachability analysis of complex systems. In *Proceedings of CAV*, volume 2102 of *LNCS*, pages 368–372. Springer Verlag, June 2001.
- [Col01] A. Annichini Collomb. Vérification d'automates étendus: algorithmes d'analyse symbolique et mise en oeuvre. PhD thesis, Joseph Fourier University, December 2001.
- [Hea99] A.C. Hearn. *REDUCE User's and Contributed Packages Manual*. Codemist Ltd., February 1999. version 3.7.
- [Ome96] Omega Team. *The Omega Library*, November 1996. version 1.1.0.

