

# Context-Conditional Grammars: An Overview

Jiří Techet   Tomáš Masopust   (Alexander Meduna)

Department of Information Systems  
Faculty of Information Technology  
Brno University of Technology  
Božetěchova 2, Brno 61266, Czech Republic

Modern Formal Language Theory, 2007

- 1970, Van der Walt introduces Random Context Grammars
  - Context-free grammars where two finite sets of **symbols** (permitting and forbidding context) are associated with each production.
- This is about variants of generalized RC grammars (**strings** are permitted in permitting and forbidding contexts).

## Definition

A **context-conditional grammar** (cc-grammar) is a quadruple

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

- $N$  is a **nonterminal** alphabet,
- $T$  is a **terminal** alphabet such that  $N \cap T = \emptyset$ ,  $V = N \cup T$ ,
- $S \in N$  is the **start** symbol, and
- $P$  is a finite set of **productions** of the form

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For),$$

$X \in N$ ,  $\alpha \in V^*$ , and  $Per, For \subseteq V^+$  are finite sets.

## Example

Consider a grammar

$$G = (\{S, A, B\}, \{a, b\}, P, S)$$

with  $P$  consisting of the following productions:

- 1  $(S \rightarrow AB, \emptyset, \emptyset)$ ,
- 2  $(A \rightarrow c, \{B\}, \{ABB\})$ ,
- 3  $(B \rightarrow d, \{AA, AB\}, \{A, B, S\})$ .

Then,  $G$  is a cc-grammar.

# Context-Conditional Grammars: Definitions

Permitting and Forbidding contexts.

## Definition (Derivation Step)

For  $u, v \in (N \cup T)^*$ , and  $(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$ ,

$$uXv \Rightarrow u\alpha v,$$

if

$$Per \subseteq \text{sub}(uXv)^1 \text{ and } For \cap \text{sub}(uXv) = \emptyset.$$

## Definition (Language)

$$L(G) = \{w \in T^* : S \Rightarrow^* w\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{CCG} = \{L(G) : G \text{ is a cc-grammar}\}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>sub(x) = {u : u is a subword of x}

## Example

Consider a cc-grammar

$$G = (\{S, A, B\}, \{a, b\}, P, S)$$

with  $P$  consisting of the following productions:

- 1  $(S \rightarrow AB, \emptyset, \emptyset)$ ,
- 2  $(A \rightarrow c, \{B\}, \{ABB\})$ ,
- 3  $(B \rightarrow d, \{AA, AB\}, \{A, B, S\})$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} AAB &\Rightarrow AcB \quad [(A \rightarrow c, \{B\}, \{ABB\})] \\ AAB &\not\Rightarrow AAd \quad [(B \rightarrow d, \{AA, AB\}, \{A, B, S\})] \end{aligned}$$

## Definition (Conditional Production)

$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$  is said to be **conditional** if

$$Per \cup For \neq \emptyset.$$

## Definition (Degree)

$G$  has **degree**  $(i, j)$  if for all productions

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P,$$

$$|x| \leq i, \quad x \in Per$$

and

$$|y| \leq j, \quad y \in For.$$

## Example

Consider the previous cc-grammar

$$G = (\{S, A, B\}, \{a, b\}, P, S)$$

with  $P$  consisting of the following productions:

- 1  $(S \rightarrow AB, \emptyset, \emptyset)$  is not conditional,
- 2  $(A \rightarrow c, \{B\}, \{ABB\})$  is conditional,
- 3  $(B \rightarrow d, \{AA, AB\}, \{A, B, S\})$  is conditional.

Then,  $G$  has degree  $(2, 3)$ .



## Theorem

**CCG = RE**

## Proof.

No surprise, **RC = RE** (hard, see Dassow and Paun). □

## Theorem

**CCG = RE**

## Proof.

No surprise, **RC = RE** (hard, see Dassow and Paun). □

## Theorem

Let  $L \in \mathbf{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a cc-grammar of *degree (2, 1)* with no more than *6 conditional productions* and *7 nonterminals*.

## Theorem

*Context-conditional grammars with **regular productions** have the same generative power as **regular grammars**.*

## Theorem

*Context-conditional grammars with **linear productions** have the same generative power as **linear grammars**.*

## Definition

A **simple context-conditional grammar** (scc-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$\emptyset \in \{Per, For\}.$$

## Theorem

Let  $L \in \mathbf{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a scc-grammar of *degree (2, 1)* with no more than *7 conditional productions* and *8 nonterminals*.

## Proof.

Based on the Geffert normal form. □

## Proof Prerequisite.

Every *RE* language is generated by a grammar

$$G_1 = (\{S, A, B, C\}, T, P \cup \{ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon\}, S)$$

where  $P$  contains **context-free** productions of the form

$$S \rightarrow uSa, S \rightarrow uSv, S \rightarrow uv,$$

where  $u \in \{A, AB\}^*$ ,  $v \in \{BC, C\}^*$ ,  $a \in T$ .

In addition,  $w \in \mathcal{L}(G_1)$  iff

$$S \Rightarrow_P^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \Rightarrow_{\{ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon\}}^* w,$$

where  $w_1 \in \{A, AB\}^*$ ,  $w_2 \in \{BC, C\}^*$ , and  $w \in T^*$ . □

## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

- 1  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

### Derivation ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

$$S \Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

- 1  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

### Derivation ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B C w_2 w \end{aligned}$$





## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

- 1  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

### Derivation $(ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon)$

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

- 1  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

### Derivation ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C' w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

- 1  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

### Derivation ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B'' C' w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

- 1  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

### Derivation ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B'' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 B'' C' w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

- 1  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

### Derivation ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B'' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 B'' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 B'' w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

- 1  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

## Derivation ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

$S \Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w$   
 $\Rightarrow w_1 A' B C w_2 w$   
 $\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w$   
 $\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C' w_2 w$   
 $\Rightarrow w_1 A' B'' C' w_2 w$   
 $\Rightarrow w_1 B'' C' w_2 w$   
 $\Rightarrow w_1 B'' w_2 w$   
 $\Rightarrow w_1 w_2 w$



## Definition

A **generalized forbidding grammar** (gf-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$Per = \emptyset.$$

## Definition

A **generalized forbidding grammar** (gf-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$Per = \emptyset.$$

$(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, For)$  is simplified to  $(X \rightarrow \alpha, For)$ .



# Generalized Forbidding Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A **generalized forbidding grammar** (gf-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$Per = \emptyset.$$

$(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, For)$  is simplified to  $(X \rightarrow \alpha, For)$ .

## Definition (Degree)

$G$  has **degree**  $i$  if  $G$  has degree  $(k, i)$  as a cc-grammar, for some  $k \geq 0$ .

## Example

Consider a gf-grammar (forbidding gr.=no strings, only symbols)

$$G = (\{A, B, C\}, \{a\}, P, S)$$

with  $P$  consisting of the following productions:

1  $(A \rightarrow BB, \{C\})$

2  $(B \rightarrow C, \{A\})$

3  $(C \rightarrow A, \{a, B\})$

4  $(C \rightarrow a, \{A, B\})$

Then,  $G$  has degree 1 and  $AA \Rightarrow BBA \Rightarrow BBBB \Rightarrow^4 CCCC \Rightarrow^4 aaaa$ .

Thus,

$$L(G) = \{a^{2^n} : n \geq 1\}.$$

# Generalized Forbidding Grammars: Results

Theorem (Meduna, 1990)

**GFG = RE**

Theorem (Bordihn and Fernau, 1995)

**F  $\subset$  REC** (*forbidding grammars=no strings, only symbols*)

# Generalized Forbidding Grammars: Results

Theorem (Meduna, 1990)

**GFG = RE**

Theorem (Bordihn and Fernau, 1995)

**F  $\subset$  REC** (*forbidding grammars=no strings, only symbols*)

Theorem

Let  $L \in \mathbf{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a gf-grammar of *degree 2* with no more than *8 conditional productions* and *10 nonterminals*.

# Generalized Forbidding Grammars: Results

Theorem (Meduna, 1990)

**GFG = RE**

Theorem (Bordihn and Fernau, 1995)

**F  $\subset$  REC** (*forbidding grammars=no strings, only symbols*)

Theorem

*Let  $L \in \mathbf{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a gf-grammar of **degree 2** with no more than **8 conditional productions** and **10 nonterminals**.*

Theorem

*Let  $L \in \mathbf{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a gf-grammar of **degree 2** with no more than **9 conditional productions** and **8 nonterminals**.*

## Definition

A **generalized permitting grammar** (gp-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$For = \emptyset.$$

- As far as I know, nobody has studied descriptonal complexity;
- gp-grammars vs. type-0 grammars.

## Definition

A **Semi-Conditional Grammars** (sc-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$|Per|, |For| \leq 1.^2$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Each context contains no more than one nonempty string.

## Theorem

Let  $L \in \mathbf{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a sc-grammar of *degree (2, 1)* with no more than *7 conditional productions* and *8 nonterminals*.



## Theorem

Let  $L \in \mathbf{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a sc-grammar of *degree (2, 1)* with no more than *7 conditional productions* and *8 nonterminals*.

## Theorem (Mayer, 1972)

Let  $L \in \mathbf{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a sc-grammar of *degree (1, 1)*.

# Simple Semi-Conditional Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A **simple semi-conditional grammar** (ssc-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$|Per| + |For| \leq 1.^3$$

---

<sup>3</sup>In each production, there is no more than one nonempty string in the union of its contexts.

# Simple Semi-Conditional Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A **simple semi-conditional grammar** (ssc-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$|Per| + |For| \leq 1.^3$$

$(X \rightarrow \alpha, \{p\}, \{f\})$  is simplified to  $(X \rightarrow \alpha, p, f)$ , and  $\emptyset$  to  $0$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>In each production, there is no more than one nonempty string in the union of its contexts.

## Example

Consider a ssc-grammar

$$G = (\{S, A, B\}, \{a, b\}, P, S)$$

with  $P$  consisting of the following productions:

1  $(S \rightarrow AB, 0, 0),$

2  $(A \rightarrow c, 0, B),$

3  $(B \rightarrow d, AB, 0).$

Then,  $G$  has degree

$$(2, 1),$$

and

$$AB \Rightarrow Ad \quad [(B \rightarrow d, AB, 0)]$$

$$AB \not\Rightarrow cB \quad [(A \rightarrow c, 0, B)]$$

## Theorem

Let  $L \in \mathbf{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a ssc-grammar of *degree (2, 1)* with no more than *9 conditional productions* and *10 nonterminals*.

## Theorem (Masopust and Meduna)

Let  $L \in \mathbf{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a ssc-grammar of *degree (1, 1)*.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup>This was an open problem formulated in the book by Meduna and Švec, *Grammars with Context Conditions and Their Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2005.

# Summary

Every recursively enumerable language is generated by a

- 1 cc-grammar of degree  $(2, 1)$  with **six** conditional productions and **seven** nonterminals;
- 2 scc-grammar of degree  $(2, 1)$  with **seven** conditional productions and **eight** nonterminals;
- 3 gf-grammar of degree **two** with **eight** conditional productions and **ten** nonterminals;
- 4 gf-grammar of degree **two** with **nine** conditional productions and **eight** nonterminals;
- 5 sc-grammar of degree  $(2, 1)$  with **seven** conditional productions and **eight** nonterminals; and
- 6 ssc-grammar of degree  $(2, 1)$  with **nine** conditional productions and **ten** nonterminals.



T. Masopust.

*Regulated Formal Models and Their Reductions.*

PhD thesis, Faculty of Information Technology, Brno University of  
Technology, Brno, Czech Republic, 2007.